

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/774,081	02/05/2004	Cheol-ju Yun	9898-332	7130		
7	2590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER			
	HNSON & McCOL	GURLEY, LYNNE ANN				
1030 S.W. Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
rottana, OK	71203		2812			
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			De
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/774,081	YUN, CHEOL-JU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M.	arch 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-25 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· ·	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage	;
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	received.	,
		I VANE A GIDI EV	
		PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINE	ER
Attachment(s)	∧ □	TC 2800, AU 2812	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) A Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/1/05</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 3/8/05.

Currently, claims 1-25 are pending. Claims 21-25 have been withdrawn.

Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fu et al. (US 6,245,669, dated 6/12/01).
- 4. Fu shows the method as claimed in figures 4-8 and corresponding text, with first, second and third hardmasks 16, 18 and 21, wire line layer 14, insulating layer 30 and sidewall spacers 22/24/26.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,081 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

5. Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ference et al. (US 6,534,389, dated 3/18/03, filed 3/9/00).

6. Ference shows the method as claimed in figures 1-8 and corresponding text, with first, second and third hardmasks 16, 18 and 20, wire line layer 14, insulating layer 54 and sidewall spacers 26/42/36/46. Contacts to memory devices are discussed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 2 and 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ference et al. (US 6,534,389, dated 3/18/03, filed 3/9/00).

Ference shows the method substantially as claimed and as discussed in the previous paragraphs. The conductive layer is made of polysilicon.

Ference lacks anticipation only in not explicitly teaching that the method is applicable to a bit line structure and associated parameters.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the method taught in Ference to pattern bit line structures with the associated parameters, with the motivation that Ference teaches that the conductive lines and contacts are made in association with memory cells, capacitors and devices. A bit line configuration would be an obvious variation of use of the method, along with associated parameters of the bit line structure, such as nodes, tungsten bit line formation, barrier formation, capacitor contacts, etc. Additionally, the thickness of the hard masks, the materials of the hardmasks and spacers are considered to be parameters of optimization.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 3/8/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's remarks, pages 6-9, the prior art of record shows the steps of the claimed invention as amended. Fu shows the filling of gaps between the wire lines with

Art Unit: 2812

an insulating layer in figures 7-8, especially in figure 8 with layer 30. Ference shows the method in figure 7 as well.

Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner TC 2800, Art Unit 2812

LAG

May 16, 2005